arXiv:math/0501273v1 [math.GT] 18 Jan 2005

Small exotic 4–manifolds with $b_2^+ = 3$

András I. Stipsicz
Zoltán Szabó

Rényi Institute of Mathematics
Hungarian Academy of Sciences
H-1053 Budapest
Reáltanoda utca 13–15, Hungary and
Institute for Advanced Study, Princeton, NJ
and
Department of Mathematics
Princeton University,
Princeton, NJ, 08540

Email: `stipsicz@renyi.hu`, `stipsicz@math.ias.edu` and `szabo@math.princeton.edu`

Abstract We construct an infinite family of simply connected, pairwise nondiffeomorphic 4–manifolds, all homeomorphic to $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#9\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$. Similar ideas provide examples of 4–manifolds with $b_2^+ = 3$, $b_2^- = 8$, vanishing first homology and nontrivial Seiberg–Witten invariants.

AMS Classification

Keywords

1 Introduction

Many simply connected, smoothable topological 4–manifolds (with b_2^+ odd) are known to admit infintely many distinct smooth structures. The existence of such *exotic* structures are, however, less clear when the Euler characteristic of the 4–manifold is small, for example if the manifold is homeomorphic to the (blow–up of the) complex projective plane. Using the rational blow–down construction [2] together with knot surgery [3] in double node neighborhoods [4], many new smooth 4–manifolds have been discovered with $b_2^+ = 1$ and $b_2^- \geq 5$ [4, 14, 15, 18]. Similar ideas can be applied to get examples of irreducible exotic simply connected 4–manifolds with $b_2^+ = 3$ and relatively small b_2^-. The study of exotic structures on simply connected manifolds with $b_2^+ = 3$ has a rich history. Recall that the $K3$ surface $E(2)$ is such an example with $b_2^- = 19$. Applying appropriate logarithmic transformations on $E(2)$ it was shown that $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#19\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ admits infinitely many smooth structures [6, 9, 17]. Later works, relying on Gompf's symplectic normal connected sum operation, together with Donaldson theory showed that the topological manifolds $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ with $n \geq$

14 admit infinitely many smooth structures [16, 19]. More recent results of Park [10, 11, 12] proved the same statement with $n \geq 10$. Our main result in this paper improves this bound:

Theorem 1.1 *The simply connected topological 4–manifold* $3\mathbb{CP}^2 \# 9\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ *admits infinitely many distinct smooth structures.*

By modifying the construction used in the proof of Theorem 1.1, we can define a set of 4–manifolds which still have $b_2^+ = 3$, but their Euler characteristic is smaller than the above examples. In these cases, however, we were unable to show that the manifolds are simply connected.

Theorem 1.2 *There are infinitely many pairwise nondiffeomorphic smooth, closed 4–manifolds with vanishing first homology,* $b_2^+ = 3$, $b_2^- = 8$ *and nontrivial Seiberg–Witten invariants.*

The proof of the results will involve two steps. First we desribe how to construct the manifolds claimed and then we use Seiberg–Witten theory in proving that they are nondiffeomorphic. In the construction we will apply mapping class group arguments and the theory of Lefschetz fibrations. Using the knot surgery construction then we can identify configurations of curves in the resulting 4–manifolds which can be rationally blown down, leading us to the desired examples.

2 Elliptic fibrations and mapping class groups

Recall that a genus–1 Lefschetz fibration $f\colon X^4 \to S^2$ can be described by the word in the mapping class group Γ_1 of the 2–torus T^2 corresponding to the monodromy presentation of f. More precisely, a point $x \in X$ where df is not onto (called a singular point of the fibration) gives rise to a singular fiber $f^{-1}(f(x))$, and the monodromy of the fibration around such a fiber can be given by the composition of Dehn twists along the circles corresponding to the vanishing cycles of the singular points of the fiber. By traversing through the singular fibers in a counterclockwise manner relative to a fixed base point $s_0 \in S^2$, we get the above mentioned word describing the fibration. Notice that we do not assume that f is injective on the set of its singular points, that is, a singular fiber can contain more than one singular points. The assumption that the map f is a Lefschetz fibration implies that the vanishing cycles corresponding to the singular points in one fixed singular fiber can be chosen to be disjoint.

It is known that the mapping class group Γ_1 can be generated by two elements $a, b \in \Gamma_1$ which are subject to the two relations

$$aba = bab \qquad \text{and} \qquad (ab)^6 = 1.$$

In fact, Γ_1 can be shown to be isomorphic to $SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$ by mapping a to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$ and b to $\left(\begin{smallmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ -1 & 1 \end{smallmatrix}\right)$. Since the forgetful map from the mapping class group Γ_1^1 of the 2–torus with one marked point to Γ_1 is an isomorphism, we get that any genus–1 Lefschetz fibration admits a section.

Genus–1 Lefschetz fibrations were classified by Moishezon [8], who showed that after a possible perturbation such a fibration over S^2 is equivalent to one of the fibrations given by the words $(ab)^{6n}$ $(n \in \mathbb{N})$ in Γ_1. The resulting 4–manifold is usually called $E(n)$ (the simply connected elliptic surface with section and of holomorphic Euler characteristic n), and $E(2)$ is the famous $K3$ surface. It can be shown that a section of $E(n) \to S^2$ has self–intersection $-n$.

Following [7] we call a fiber with monodromy conjugate to a^k of *type* I_k $(k \in \mathbb{N})$. When $k = 1$, the corresponding fiber is also called a *fishtail* fiber. It is easy to see that topologically a singular fiber of type I_k $(k \geq 2)$ is a plumbing of k smooth 2–spheres of self–intersection -2 plumbed along a circle (see [7, page 35]), while a fishtail fiber is an immersed 2–sphere with one positive double point. Since in T^2 nonisotopic simple closed curves necessarily intersect each other, it is easy to see that a genus–1 Lefschetz fibration can have only I_k–fibers as singular fibers. The fibration f can be perturbed near a singular fiber F of type I_k into a fibration f' which is the same as f outside of F but breaks F into two singular fibers of types I_{k_1} and I_{k_2} with $k_1 + k_2 = k$. This fact implies that a generic genus–1 Lefschetz fibration admits only fishtail fibers. In our study however, we will find it most helpful to understand what kind of other singular fibers an elliptic fibration can admit.

3 The construction for $b_2^- = 9$

We start with a proposition showing the existence of a particular genus–1 fibration on $E(2)$.

Proposition 3.1 *There exists an elliptic Lefschetz fibration on the $K3$ surface $E(2)$ with a section, a singular fiber F of type I_{16}, three singular fibers F_1, F_2, F_3 of type I_2 and two further fishtail fibers.*

Proof It is not hard to see that the word $(ab)^{12}$ (defining a genus–1 Lefschetz fibration on the $K3$ surface $E(2)$) in the mapping class group Γ_1 of the torus is equivalent to

$$a^4ba^2b^2a^2b^2a^4ba^2b^2a^2.$$

(Alternatively, by substituting a and b with the matrices they correspond to under the map $\Gamma_1 \to SL(2;\mathbb{Z})$, we can check that the above product is equal to the identity matrix.) By collecting the powers of a in the front using conjugation, we get a^{16} followed by the product of three squares of some conjugates of b and two further conjugates of b. Since a conjugate of b by a word $x \in \Gamma_1$ corresponds to the Dehn twist along the image under the diffeomorphism x of the curve inducing b, the proposition follows. As we already mentioned, genus–1 Lefschetz fibrations always admit sections. □

Perturb first the above fibration near the I_2 fibers F_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ in a way that these give rise to fishtail fibers F_i', F_i'' with isotopic vanishing cycles. Let us denote the resulting Lefschetz fibration by $f\colon E(2) \to S^2$.

Let K_1, K_2, K_3 be three twist knots as depicted in [4]. Let Z_{K_1,K_2,K_3} denote the 4–manifold we get after performing three knot surgeries with knots K_1, K_2, K_3 along three regular fibers in the fibration on the $K3$ surface found above. Because of the existence of fishtail fibers in the complement with nonisotopic vanishing cycles, we conclude that $\pi_1(Z_{K_1,K_2,K_2}) = 1$. If we perform the surgeries in the double node neighborhoods near the fishtail fibers F_1', F_1'', and F_2', F_2'' and F_3', F_3'' respectively, then we can find a 'pseudo–section' S as in [4] which is an immersed sphere of self–intersection (-2) with three positive double points, intersecting the further fishtail fibers and the I_{16} fiber F transversally. Next smooth the intersections of this pesudo–section S with two further fishtail fibers. The result is an immersed sphere of self–intersection 2 having 5 positive double points. Now blow up the 4–manifold Z_{K_1,K_2,K_3} in the five double points of this sphere, and find an embedded sphere of self–intersection -18 in $Z_{K_1,K_2,K_3}\#5\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$. Let C denote the tubular neighborhood of the linear plumbing of spheres given by this (-18)–sphere together with 14 of the (-2)–spheres in the I_{16} fiber F. It is not hard to see that C is diffeomorphic to $C_{16,1}$ in the notation of [18], cf. also [2, 13]. It is then easy to show that $\partial C = \partial C_{16,1}$ can be given as the oriented boundary of the rational ball $B_{16,1}$, see [1, 2, 18]. Define X_{K_1,K_2,K_3} as the rational blow–down of $Z_{K_1,K_2,K_3}\#5\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ along C, that is,

$$X_{K_1,K_2,K_3} = (Z_{K_1,K_2,K_3}\#5\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2} - \text{ int } C) \cup B_{16,1}.$$

Proposition 3.2 *For any twist knots K_1, K_2, K_3 the 4–manifold X_{K_1,K_2,K_3} is homeomorphic to $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#9\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$.*

Proof Notice that Z_{K_1,K_2,K_3} is simply connected, and the complement of C in $Z_{K_1,K_2,K_3}\#5\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ is simply connected since the (-2)–sphere in F intersecting the last (-2)–sphere of the linear chain C provides a hemisphere which contracts the generator of the fundamental group of ∂C. Since $\pi_1(\partial B_{16,1})$ surjects onto $\pi_1(B_{16,1})$ under the natural embedding, Van Kampen's Theorem implies that X_{K_1,K_2,K_3} is simply connected. Now simple signature and Euler characteristics computation together with Freedman's Theorem [5] verifies the result. $\square$

For short, let X_n denote the 4–manifold X_{K_1,K_2,K_3} if $K_1 = K_2 = K_3 =$ the n–twist knot T_n. The following proposition is true in a wider generality, we restrict our attention to the special case $K_1 = K_2 = K_3$ in order to keep our discussion as simple as possible. Using the results of [2, 3] the Seiberg–Witten invariants of X_n can be easily computed. This computation immediately shows

Theorem 3.3 *There are two cohomology classes $\pm L \in H^2(X_n;\mathbb{Z})$ with the property that the Seiberg–Witten function SW_{X_n} of X_n is equal to zero for all classes $K \neq \pm L$ and $SW_{X_n}(\pm L) = \pm n^3$.*

Proof By [3, Theorem 1.1] the Seiberg–Witten invariant of $Z_n = Z_{T_n,T_n,T_n}$ can be computed to be equal to

$$(n\exp(2T) - (2n-1) + n\exp(-2T))^3$$

(use the facts that the Seiberg–Witten function of the $K3$ surface is equal to 1 and the Alexander polynomial of T_n is $\Delta_{T_n} = nt - (2n-1) + nt^{-1}$). This result, together with the blow–up formula shows that the five–fold blow–up $Z_n\#5\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ has exactly two Seiberg–Witten basic classes $\pm K$ which evaluate on the (-18)–sphere of the configuration C as ± 16. Moreover, the value of the Seiberg–Witten function on these basic classes is $\pm n^3$. Now [2, Theorem 8.5] implies that X_n has two basic classes, on which the value of the Seiberg–Witten function is equal to $\pm n^3$, verifying the result. $\square$

Corollary 3.4 *The 4–manifolds X_n are pairwise nondiffeomorphic irreducible smooth 4–manifolds.* $\square$

Proof of Theorem 1.1 The 4–manifolds X_n provide an infinite family of smooth 4–manifolds all homeomorphic to $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#9\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ according to Proposition 3.2, and by Corollary 3.4 these manifolds are pairwise nondiffeomorphic. Therefore the set $\{X_n \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ provides an infinite collection of distinct smooth structures on $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#9\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$, hence the proof is complete. $\square$

3.1 4–manifolds with $b_2^+ = 3$ and $b_2^- = 8$

Using a variation of the above procedure, closed 4–manifolds with $b_2^+ = 3$ and $b_2^- = 8$ can be constructed as follows. Consider the fibration $f\colon E(2) \to S^2$ found above, containing a singular fiber of type I_{16} and eight fishtail fibers, out of which three pairs F_i', F_i'' $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ have isotopic vanishing cycles. Proceed as before by doing three knot surgeries along three regular fibers with twist knots K_1, K_2, K_3 and — using the double node neighborhoods provided by the fishtail fibers F_i', F_i'' — identify the 'pseudo–section', which is again an immersed sphere with homological square -2 and has three positive double points. As before, resolve the two positive intersections of this pseudo–section with the remaining two fishtail fibers, and find the immersed sphere with 5 double point and homological square 2. Blow up the 4–manifold at the double points of the pesudo–section, and consider the resulting sphere of square -18 in Z_{K_1,K_2,K_3}. This sphere intersects the I_{16} fiber F transversally in a unique point P which is on the (-2)–sphere $\Sigma_0 \subset F$. Now Σ_0 is intersected by two other spheres in F, let Σ_1 be one of them and denote the intersection point of Σ_0 and Σ_1 by Q. Apply 17 infinitely close blow–ups at Q. The resulting plumbing manifold $C_{305,17}$ can be given by the linear plumbing

$$(-18, -19, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -3, -2, -2,$$

$$-2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2, -2).$$

As before, it is routine to see that the boundary $\partial C_{305,17}$ can be given as the boundary of the rational ball $B_{305,17}$, hence we can blow it down, resulting the 4–manifold Y_{K_1,K_2,K_3}. Since the normal circle of the pseudo–section (which circle generates the first homology of $\partial C_{305,17}$) vanishes in the homology of the complement $Z_{K_1,K_2,K_3} \# 22\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2} -$ int $C_{305,17}$ (as it is shown by a regular fiber), the Mayer–Vietoris sequence implies that $H_1(Y_{K_1,K_2,K_3}; \mathbb{Z})$ vanishes. Simple Euler characteristic and signature computations now imply

Lemma 3.5 *The 4–manifolds Y_{K_1,K_2,K_3} have $b_2^+ = 3$ and $b_2^- = 8$.* □

Finally, by computing Seiberg–Witten invariants of these manifolds in the fashion it was done in Theorem 3.3, we get that the 4–manifolds $Y_n = Y_{T_n,T_n,T_n}$ are pairwise nondiffeomorphic, all with nonvanishing Seiberg–Witten invariants, verifying the claim of Theorem 1.2.

References

[1] **A. Casson and J. Harer**, *Some homology lens spaces which bound rational homology balls*, Pacific J. Math. **96** (1981), 23–36.

[2] **R. Fintushel and R. Stern**, *Rational blowdowns of smooth 4–manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **46** (1997), 181–235.

[3] **R. Fintushel and R. Stern**, *Knots, links and 4–manifolds*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), 363–400.

[4] **R. Fintushel and R. Stern**, *Double node neighborhoods and families of simply connected 4–manifolds with* $b^+ = 1$, arXiv:math.GT/0412126

[5] **M. Freedman**, *The topology of four–dimensional manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. **17** (1982), 357–453.

[6] **R. Friedman and J. Morgan**, *Smooth 4–manifolds and complex surfaces*, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete **27**, Springer–Verlag, 1994.

[7] **J. Harer, A. Kas and R. Kirby**, *Handlebody decompositions of complex surfaces*, Memoirs of the AMS, vol. **62**, 1986.

[8] **B. Moishezon**, *Complex surfaces and connected sums of complex projective planes*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **603**, Springer–Verlag, 1977.

[9] **J. Morgan and K. O'Grady**, *Differential topology of complex surfaces. Elliptic surfaces with* $p_g = 1$*: smooth classification*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics **1545**, Springer-Verlag 1993.

[10] **D. Park**, *Exotic smooth structures on* $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 3057–3065.

[11] **D. Park**, *Exotic smooth structures on* $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$*, Part II*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **128** (2000), 3067–3073.

[12] **D. Park**, *Constructing infinitely many smooth structures on* $3\mathbb{CP}^2\#n\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$ Math. Ann. **322** (2000), 267–278.

[13] **J. Park**, *Seiberg–Witten invariants of generalized rational blow–downs*, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **56** (1997), 363–384.

[14] **J. Park**, *Simply connected symplectic 4–manifolds with* $b_2^+ = 1$ *and* $c_1^2 = 2$, to appear in Invent. Math., arXiv:math.GT/0311395

[15] **J. Park, A. Stipsicz and Z. Szabó**, *Exotic smooth structures on* $\mathbb{CP}^2\#5\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$, arXiv.math:GT/0412216

[16] **A. Stipsicz**, *Donaldson series and* (-1)*–tori*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **465** (1995), 133–144.

[17] **A. Stipsicz and Z. Szabó**, *The smooth classification of elliptic surfaces with* $b_2^+ > 1$, Duke Math. J. **75** (1994), 1–50.

[18] **A. Stipsicz and Z. Szabó**, *An exotic smooth structure on* $\mathbb{CP}^2\#6\overline{\mathbb{CP}^2}$, arXiv.math:GT/0411258

[19] **Z. Szabó**, *Irreducible four–manifolds with small Euler characteristics*, Topology **35** (1996), 411–426.